

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/729,911	12/06/2000	Donald L. Schilling	LINX08US	7474
75	90 09/23/2002			
DAVID NEWMAN CHARTERED Centennial Square P.O. Box 2728			EXAMINER	
			VO, DON NGUYEN	
La Plata, MD 20646-2728			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\subseteq			
	7	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/729,911	SCHILLING ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DON N VO	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	<u>lune 2002</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,9-32 and 35-42</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-8</u> is/are rejected.						
/ <u></u>	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33 and 34</u> is/are objected to.					
i						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
U.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/729,911

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 6/24/02.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 4, line 7, "node" is suggested to be changed to – nodes --;

In claim 6, line 5, "a first node" is suggested to be changed to – said first node --;

In claim 33, line 1, "im" is suggested to be changed to - in --; and

In claim 34, line 1, "im" is suggested to be changed to – in --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 09/729,911

Art Unit: 2631

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chau et al (5,751,792; art of record) in view of Sharony et al (5,742,593; art of record) or Chuprun et al (6,301,239; art of record).

As shown in figures 1 and 2, Chau teaches a network comprising central office to send out data, a hub which transfers data within the network, a plurality of nodes and remote stations for sending and receiving data. See also column 1, line 66 to column 4, line 31. Chau fails to teach employing the spread spectrum communications within the network. However, Sharony or Chuprun teaches employing the spread spectrum communications within the distributed network for facilitating reliable communications (Sharony: column 1, lines 58-67) and reducing network collisions and susceptibility to jamming signals, while increasing network connectivity and communication throughput (Chuprun: column 1, line 64 to column 2, line1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the network of Chau by employing the spread spectrum communications within the network so as to facilitate reliable communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/729,911

Art Unit: 2631

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-3, 9-32 and 35-42 are allowed over prior art of record.
- 7. Claims 33 and 34 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection(s) set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

8. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the packet passing through a distributed network, with the path of the packet dependent on traffic information regarding neighboring nodes as indicated on page 22 of the Amendment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Based on the above rationale, it is believed that the limitations of claims 4-8 are met by the combination of Chau et al (5,751,792) in view of Sharony et al (5,742,593) or Chuprun et al (6,301,239) and therefore, the rejection to claims 4-8 are still maintained.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2631

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to DON N VO whose telephone number is (703) 305-4885.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for

regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3800.

DON N VO

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2631

September 21, 2002